

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/786,837 | 02/25/2004 | Kelly Marie Morrison-Gale | | 2961 | |
| 75 | 590 02/21/2006 | | EXAM | INER | |
| Kelly M. Mor | | | PATEL, TA | AJASH D , | |
| 19416 Ridgelin Strongsville, O | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| Suongs me, | ,,,,,,, | | 3765 | | |

DATE MAILED: 02/21/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | ϵ |
|--|--|--|--|----------------|
| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
| | | 10/786,837 | MORRISON-GALI | E, KELLY MARIE |
| | Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | | Tejash D. Patel | 3765 | |
| Period fe | The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | dress |
| WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any | CORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DAINS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI | I. lely filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133). | |
| Status | | | | |
| 1)🛛 | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Fe | ebruary 2004. | | |
| 2a) | This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This | action is non-final. | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal matters, pro | secution as to the | merits is |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under E | x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45 | 3 O.G. 213. | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | vn from consideration. | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | |
| | Claim(s) 1 and 5 is/are rejected. | | | |
| · <u> </u> | Claim(s) <u>2-4</u> is/are objected to. | | | |
| 8)[_] | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | |
| • | The specification is objected to by the Examiner | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | | • • | |
| 44 | Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | * | | |
| 11)[_] | The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached Office | Action or form PT | O-152. |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | |
| | Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: | | -(d) or (f). | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | • • | | Store |
| | Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau | | u in this National 3 | olage |
| * 5 | See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | , , , , , | 4 | |
| | | | | |

Attachment(s)

| 1) | X | Notice of | References | Cited | (PTO-892) | |
|----|---|-----------|------------|-------|-----------|--|
|----|---|-----------|------------|-------|-----------|--|

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date __

| 4) L | |
|------|------------------------|
| | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. |

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Intengan (US 4,173,792) in view of New (US 3,735,420). Intengan discloses a necktie (14) including a necktie main body (16) resembling a traditional necktie form having a front, neckband, and rear sections with a necktie knot structure (40) that has a regular octagonal shape as shown in figure 2. Further, the knot structure is removable with female (60) and male (58) fasteners on opposite face sides thereof as also shown in figure 2. Also, the knot structure resembles an irregular octagonal shape when an imaginary line, parallel to the longest line of the shape is drawn at the midpoint thereof as shown in figure 5. However, Intengan does not show the main body and the knot structure being reversible.

New discloses a reversible necktie having a main body (3) and a knot structure (9) with the main body having two face sides with each of the face side including two material

Application/Control Number: 10/786,837 Page 3

Art Unit: 3765

lines 10-20 and as shown in figure 1.

required for a particular application or end use thereof.

pattern sections (10, 11) which resembles approximately one half of a traditional necktie form cut in an angular manner near the centermost portion of a neckband area with a traditional angular seams running near thereof, col. 2, lines 1-20 and as shown in figures 1, 2 and 4. Furthermore, the assembly includes interfacing (8,13) between the material face sides, col. 2,

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the main body and the knot structure of Intengan being reversible with each side having different pattern sections as taught by New so that the user can easily wear the necktie as

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to Applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3765

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tejash Patel whose telephone number is (571) 272-4993. The fax phone number for this group is (571) 273-8300.

February 17, 2006

TEJASH PATEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER